

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/632,872	08/01/2003	Joseph H. End III	TN302	4647	
	7590 10/11/2006			EXAMINER		
	Unisys Corporation			CHERY, MARDOCHEE		
	Attn: Michael E	Attn: Michael B. Atlass				1
	Unisys Way, M	IS/E8-114		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Blue Bell, PA 19424-0001			2188			

DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/632,872	END, JOSEPH H.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Mardochee Chery	2188				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		<u> </u>				
Period fo	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EVDIDE 2 MONTH/	(S) OD THIRTY (20) DAVS				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/27/	<u>06</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) 🗌							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	Disposition of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-12,14-18,21 and 22</u> is/are reject	ted.					
•	Claim(s) 7,13,19 and 20 is/are objected to.		•				
8)□.	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🔲 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
/.	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		•	•				
Attachmen		. <u>_</u>					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This Office Action is in response to applicant's communication filed on June 27, 2006, in response to PTO Office Action mailed on March 23, 2006. The Applicant's remarks and amendments to the claims and/or the specification were considered with the results that follow.
- 2. In response to the last Office Action, claims 7, 8, 13 and 14 have been amended. No claims have been added or canceled. Claims 1-22 remain pending.
- 3. The objection to claims 7 and 13 has been withdrawn due to the amendment filed on June 27, 2006.
- 4. The rejection of claim 8 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph has been withdrawn due to the amendment filed on June 27, 2006.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed June 27, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
 - a. Applicants argue on page 11 of the remarks that "the arbiter in Nystuen assigns memory requests to a single path rather than two paths and since there is only one path, the arbiter in Nystuen is incapable of assigning a second

Art Unit: 2188

memory request to a second processing path". Applicants further argue on page 12, paragraph 1 of the remarks that "as can be seen in Table 1 of Nystuen, a first bank (bank 0) is activated and written to prior to activating a second bank (bank 1). Thus, Nystuen fails to disclose, teach, or suggest "a first path to activate a first memory bank associated with the first memory request; and a second path controller configured to process the second memory request in the second processing path to activate a second memory bank associated with the second memory request while the first memory bank is active".

Examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicants' argument appear as though the act of "a first path controller activating a first memory bank associated with a first memory request" occurs concurrently or simultaneously with "a second path controller processing a second memory request in a second processing path to activate a second memory". However, Examiner would like to point out that the claims simply recite "a first path to activate a first memory bank associated with the first memory request; and a second path controller configured to process the second memory request in the second processing path to activate a second memory bank associated with the second memory request while the first memory bank is active" and the claims make no mention whatsoever of the timing or order of these two operations.

Examiner would like to further mention that Nystuen discloses "a path controller for processing memory access requests to activate a first

Art Unit: 2188

Page 4

and second memory bank"; Abstract; and "processing memory requests to a first bank (bank 0) and a second bank (bank 1) where all banks are being precharged (activated) prior to processing the memory requests"; Table 1; par. 72. Although, Nystuen does not explicitly teach a first path controller and a second path controller, the controller in the system of Nystuen efficiently operates using "a first path to activate a first memory bank associated with the first memory request; and a second path to process the second memory request in the second processing path to activate a second memory bank associated with the second memory request while the first memory bank is active". Additionally since all banks are being precharged prior to processing request, it is evident that a second memory request is processed while at least the first memory bank is active.

Furthermore, Shiozaki discloses as claimed "a first address array controller and a second address array controller; Fig. 1, col. 3, Il 59 to col. 4, Il 9; col. 4, Il 23-40.

b. Applicants argue on page 13 of the remarks that Nystuen fails to disclose or teach, or suggest "assigning a memory request to one of the at least one controllers from the plurality of memory requests no in the grant history register using fixed priority logic".

Art Unit: 2188

Examiner respectfully disagrees. Nystuen discloses "compare circuit 550 compares bank address stored in history register 512 and bank address presently received to determine whether either is to the same bank stored in register 512; and a each successive command is loaded, the corresponding bank address is loaded into the history register where the bank control circuit generates a bank precharge command if none of a predetermined number of memory access requests is to the memory bank; pars. 46-47; Abstract.

c. Therefore, the rejection of claims 1-6, 8-12, 14-18, 21-22 is maintained and reiterated below for Applicants' convenience.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-6, 8-12, 14-18, 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nystuen (2004/0088472) in view of Shiozaki et al. (4,683,533).

As per claim 1, Nystuen discloses a memory controller for managing memory requests from a plurality of requesters to a plurality of memory banks, the memory

Art Unit: 2188

controller comprising: an arbiter configured to receive the memory requests from the plurality of requesters, the arbiter assigning a first memory request to a first processing path and a second memory request to a second processing path responsive to the memory banks requested by the received and assigned memory requests [Fig. 3; par. 3]; a first path controller coupled to the arbiter and the plurality of memory banks, the first path controller configured to process the first memory request in the first processing path to activate a first memory bank associated with the first memory request [Figs. 3 and 5; pars. 28-30]; a second path controller coupled to the arbiter and the plurality of memory banks, the second path controller configured to process the second memory request in the second processing path to activate a second memory bank associated with the second memory request while the first memory bank is active [Figs. 1 and 3; pars. 23-28]; and a synchronizer coupled between the first path controller and the second path controller for synchronizing the first and second path controllers such that the first and second memory requests processed by the first and second path controllers, respectively, do not conflict [col. 1, II 33-38; col. 2, II 17-23 and col. 3, II 25-36];

However Nystuen does not specifically teach a second path controller configured to process the second memory request in the second processing path as required by the claim.

Art Unit: 2188

Shiozaki discloses a second path controller configured to process the second memory request in the second processing path [col.4, lines 27-29; col.1, lines 8-15; col.3, lines 31-36; col.1, lines 25-27; col.2, lines 39-42] to prevent a conflict from taking place during an update operation (col. 2, Il 17-23).

Since the technology for implementing a memory controller with a second path controller configured to process the second memory request in the second processing path was well known as evidenced by Shiozaki, an artisan would have been motivated to implement this feature in the system of Nystuen in order to avoid conflict during an update operation. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by Applicant to modify the system of Nystuen to include a second path controller configured to process the second memory request in the second processing path because this would have prevented conflict from taking place during an update operation (col. 2, II 17-23) as taught by Shiozaki.

As per claim 2, Shiozaki discloses the arbiter, the first path controller, the second path controller, and the synchronizer are implemented as a single field programmable gate array [col.4, lines 27-29; col.1, lines 8-15; col.3, lines 31-36; col.1, lines 25-27].

As per claim 3, Nystuen discloses the arbiter, the first path controller, the second path controller, and the synchronizer are configured for use with an SDRAM memory device comprising the first and second memory banks [Figs. 3 and 5].

Art Unit: 2188

As per claim 4, Nystuen discloses a first path timing controller that controls the first path circuitry and activates the first memory bank associated with the first memory request [Figs. 8-9]; and address and data multiplexers that multiplex addresses and data associated with the first memory request for interfacing with the memory banks [Fig. 5; MUX 520]; a second path timing controller that controls the second path circuitry and activates the second memory bank associated with the second memory request [Figs. 8-9]; and the address and data multiplexers that multiplex addresses and data associated with the first memory request, the address and data multiplexers further multiplexing addresses and data associated with the second memory request for interfacing with the memory banks [Fig. 5; MUX 520].

However, Nystuen does not specifically teach the first path controller comprises at least: first path circuitry that passes addresses and data associated with the first memory request; and wherein the second path controller comprises at least: second path circuitry that passes addresses and data associated with the second memory request as required by the claim.

Shiozaki discloses the first path controller comprises at least: first path circuitry that passes addresses and data associated with the first memory request [Fig. 1; col. 2, II 1-5 and II 12-28]; and wherein the second path controller comprises at least: second path circuitry that passes addresses and data associated with the second memory

Art Unit: 2188

request [Fig. 1; col. 1, Il 6-15 and Il 51-60] to prevent a conflict from taking place during an update operation (col. 2, Il 17-23).

Since the technology for implementing a memory controller with a first path circuitry that passes addresses and data associated with the first memory request and a second path circuitry that passes addresses and data associated with the second memory request was well known as evidenced by Shiozaki, an artisan would have been motivated to implement this feature in the system of Nystuen in order to avoid conflict during an update operation. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by Applicant to modify the system of Nystuen to include a first path circuitry that passes addresses and data associated with the first memory request and a second path circuitry that passes addresses and data associated with the second memory request because this would have prevented conflict from taking place during an update operation (col. 2, Il 17-23) as taught by Shiozaki.

As per claim 5, Shiozaki discloses the synchronizer comprises: delay circuits coupled between the first and second path controllers to set delay values therebetween to adjust the timing of the first and second path controllers during processing of the first and second memory requests responsive to the first and second memory requests [col. 2, II 48-57 and col. 3, II 18-24].

Art Unit: 2188

As per claim 6, Nystuen discloses the first path controller is further configured to initialize and refresh the plurality of memory banks [par. 73].

As per claim 8, Nystuen discloses the arbiter assigns the second memory request to the second path controller when the first path controller is active if the first and second memory banks are not equal [Fig. 3].

As per claim 9, the rationale in the rejection of claim 1 is herein incorporated.

As per claim 10, the rationale in the rejection of claim 4 is herein incorporated.

As per claim 11, the rationale in the rejection of claim 5 is herein incorporated.

As per claim 12, Nystuen discloses initializing the plurality of memory banks using the first processing path [par. 50].

As per claim 13, Nystuen discloses receiving the memory requests from the plurality of memory requesters during a current arbitration cycle [par. 30]; comparing the plurality of memory requesters to a grant history register identifying the plurality of memory requesters that have had previous memory requests granted during the current cycle [pars. 45- 47]; identifying the first memory request by a first memory requester from the plurality of memory requesters not on the grant history register and not having

Art Unit: 2188

a current request in the second processing path using fixed priority logic [pars. 30 and 34]; and adding the first memory requester to the grant history register [par. 32].

As per claim 14 the rationale in the rejection of claim 8 is herein incorporated.

As per claim 15 the rationale in the rejection of claim 9 is herein incorporated.

As per claim 16, Nystuen discloses means for combining the first and second memory requests for accessing the plurality of memory banks, wherein the processing means comprises generating a read command or a write command in each of the first and second processing paths and wherein the commands are concatenated by synchronizing and combining means [Fig. 3; pars. 28 and 32].

As per claim 17, the rationale in the rejection of claim 13 is herein incorporated.

As per claim 18, Nystuen discloses identifying from the plurality of memory requesters not on the grant register a lowest memory requester having a lowest value among the plurality of memory requesters not on the grant history register for assignment to one of the at least one controller [pars. 45-47].

As per claim 21, the rationale in the rejection of claim 13 is herein incorporated.

As per claim 22, Nystuen discloses the arbiter and the at least one path controller are implemented in a field programmable gate array [par. 31].

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 7, 13, 19 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. When responding to the office action, Applicant is advised to clearly point out the patentable novelty that he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art

Art Unit: 2188

disclosed by references cited or the objections made. He or she must also show how the amendments avoid such references or objections. See 37 C.F.R. 1.111(c).

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mardochee Chery whose telephone number is (571) 272-4246. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30A-5:00P.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Manonama Padmanabhan can be reached on (571) 272-4210. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

October 2, 2006

MANO PADMANABHAN

Mardochee Chery

Page 13

Examiner AU 2188